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Reviewer's report:

Major Compulsory Revisions

The author must respond to these before a decision on publication can be reached. For example, additional necessary experiments or controls, statistical mistakes, errors in interpretation.

I appreciate the authors' thorough attention to the earlier review comments, and feel that the manuscript is considerably improved. The structure and writing of this revised manuscript have been better. The authors need to revise following issues before publish.

1. In the Abstract, the background should add the research progress for this study, and explain why you perform this study. Authors should understand what is the results and conclusion. The results is the outcome that this study obtained, and conclusion is the viewpoint that the authors inferred from the results. The authors should rewrite the conclusion again.

2. The authors should reorganize the structure and writing of Conclusion. The results of this study should be fully summarized.

3. The authors should polish this manuscript in professional English carefully.

The authors should carefully rewrite the introduction and conclusion, and should carefully pay attention to the English writing.

Level of interest: An article whose findings are important to those with closely related research interests

Quality of written English: Not suitable for publication unless extensively edited

Statistical review: Yes, and I have assessed the statistics in my report.
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