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**Reviewer’s report:**

The authors gave adequate responses to the criticisms and advices of the reviewer with one exception:

The reviewer further states, that there is no adequate description of the neurotransmitters analytical method in the manuscript.

The reviewer studied the cited paper by by Rauf et al. (31) and found no data about the method of electrochemical detection and performance of the method. Therefore this paper can not serve as a reference. Without method description, the scientific standard in biomedical journals, especially needed for BMC, is not guaranteed.

I would advice a brief description including sample chromatogram, LLOD, CV of the analytes.

**Level of interest:** An article whose findings are important to those with closely related research interests

**Quality of written English:** Needs some language corrections before being published

**Statistical review:** No, the manuscript does not need to be seen by a statistician.
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