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Author’s response to reviews:

To Editors,
   BMC Women’s Health

Re: “Perceived Barriers to Early Diagnosis of Breast Cancer in South and Southwestern Ethiopia: a qualitative study”

Thank you very much for your email dated February 10, 2020 with additional review/editorial comments provided. We have accordingly made the requested edits of the manuscript and made necessary revisions on the paper. For your kind consideration, please find the following documents submitted:

1) A new revised and clean version of the manuscript, and
2) A “point by point” response to the comments and suggestions of the assistant editor.

We hope that you will find the edits as per your expectation and we look forwards to hear from you soon.

Yours Sincerely,

Dr. Adamu Addissie
Email: adamuaddissie@gmail.com
Point by Point Response

1. Thank you for amending your Availability of Data and Materials section, however, we would like to request a link to the repository referenced in this section, as well as an accession number, if applicable.

Response: This is a repository of the research group and is not web-linked. This is rather an archive. Otherwise we do not have gotten consent to post this on a public web-based repository. Both the first author (Sefonias Getachew) and the senior author of the paper (Adamu Addissie) are members of the team and the data can be accessed by sending emails to them. We have amended the section to reflect this. Please advise us on how to capture this in the Availability of Data Section in case if the description is not optimum.

2. Additionally, please clarify whether raw or de-identified data was included in the repository and if raw or de-identified data would be available upon request, as there seems to be some confusion in the current statement. Please also clarify whether any consent and or approval was needed to include raw data in a repository, if raw data was used.

Response: As we have promised to the participants that their identity is to be kept confidential, we can only avail de-identified data. Otherwise we do not have gotten consent to post this on a public web-based repository. Apologies for the typo, this is now corrected.

3. Please proofread and ensure that when you upload your revised submission it is in the final form for publication. Please remove any tracked changes or highlighting and include only a single clean copy of the manuscript. Should you wish to respond to these revision requests, please include the information in the designated input box only.

Response: This is done as advised.