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Author’s response to reviews:

To Editors,
BMC Women’s Health

Re: “Perceived Barriers to Early Diagnosis of Breast Cancer in South and Southwestern Ethiopia: a qualitative study”

Thank you very much for your email dated January 23, 2020 and for the final review/editorial comments provided. We have accordingly made the requested edits of the manuscript and made necessary revisions on the paper. For your kind consideration, please find the following documents submitted:

1) A new revised and clean version of the manuscript, and
2) A “point by point” response to the comments and suggestions of the assistant editor.

We hope that you will find the edits as per your expectation and we look forwards to hear from you soon.

Yours Sincerely,

Dr. Adamu Addissie
Email: adamuaddissie@gmail.com
Point by Point Responses

1. Ethics Approval and Consent to Participate
   --Thank you for clarifying that an IRB approved the procedure for verbal consent. Please also clearly state this in the ‘Ethics Approval and Consent to Participate’ statement of your Declarations.

   Response: This is now clearly stated in the Ethics Approval and Consent to Participate section.

2. Identifying Information
   --Thank you for removing the identifying information found in Supplementary File 2 (that has since been removed).
   However, ages of participants are still included throughout the manuscript, at the end of each QUOTATION provided, e.g. “I never heard about breast cancer before. I saw the swelling four years back but I did not inform to anyone in the family since it was painless but later becomes large and produce discharge.” (45 years old patient).
   Please ensure that these ages are either removed from your manuscript, or provided as age-ranges, as this information would compromise patient/participant anonymity.

   Response: We found in other literature that it is customary to include age as a description in such quotes. We thought this is OK as long as the additional linked descriptions which were in the supplement file 2 are now already removed. But for the benefit of doubt, as per your recommendation, we have replaced the actual ages with ages ranges.

3. Formatting
   --Thank you for moving your Declarations heading and ‘Ethics Approval and Consent to Participant’ statement to the correct location. However, the entire Declarations section must also be moved to before the references section, after your ‘Conclusions’ section.
   Please ensure that the rest of your declarations; ‘Consent for Publication,’ ‘Availability of Data and Materials,’ ‘Authors’ Contributions,’ Competing Interests,’ ‘Funding’ and ‘Acknowledgements’ statements are moved to before the references section, after your ‘Conclusions’ section.

   Response: Now the remaining parts of the whole Declarations section are moved to the section after the 'Conclusions' section.