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Reviewer's report:

I read with great interest the Manuscript titled "Qualitative exploration of the acceptability of a postnatal pelvic floor muscle training intervention to prevent urinary incontinence", which falls within the aim of BMC Women's Health.

In my honest opinion, the topic is interesting enough to attract the readers' attention. The paper is well written and has important hot points. Nevertheless, authors should clarify some points and improve the discussion citing relevant and novel key articles about the topic.

Authors should consider the following recommendations:
- Manuscript should be further revised by a native English speaker in order to correct several typos.
- Pelvic floor muscle training (PFMT) represent an important advice to prevent the onset of UI. I would discuss this point, at least briefly, referring to: PMID: 28178026; PMID: 30288727; PMID: 31045271.
- Urinary incontinence can be associated to other disease such as urinary tract infections or sexual dysfunctions. This aspect could be improved, referring also to: PMID: 31463526; PMID: 27838537
Are the methods appropriate and well described?
If not, please specify what is required in your comments to the authors.

Yes

Does the work include the necessary controls?
If not, please specify which controls are required in your comments to the authors.

Unable to assess

Are the conclusions drawn adequately supported by the data shown?
If not, please explain in your comments to the authors.

Yes

Are you able to assess any statistics in the manuscript or would you recommend an additional statistical review?
If an additional statistical review is recommended, please specify what aspects require further assessment in your comments to the editors.

I am able to assess the statistics

Quality of written English
Please indicate the quality of language in the manuscript:

Needs some language corrections before being published
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