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Author’s response to reviews:

Editors comments:

1. The quality of the English used throughout your manuscript does not currently meet our requirements, as there are several incorrect sentence constructions and grammatical errors throughout obscuring the message the authors want to convey. We recommend that you ask a native English speaking colleague to help you copy-edit the paper. If this is not possible, you may need to use a professional language editing service. Use of an editing service is neither a requirement nor a guarantee of acceptance for publication.

   • Thank so much for your great contribution in improving our manuscript.
   • They are addressed.

2. In addition, you need to reduce overlap in text with the published literature in several places, particularly: the background of abstract, and the main text of the Introduction: (page 2) the first paragraph, second and third sentence of the third paragraph, and first two sentences of the fourth paragraph. Please rewrite these sections in original language.

   • Thank you. It is a good concern.
   • They are addressed (see in the manuscript).

3. Finally, there are tones in the paper that do not allow much for contraceptive choice. Please go over your text and moderate your language as appropriate.

   • Accepted.

Reviewer 1 comments:
1. Line 37 page 3 - "treatment of voluntary infertility" I think there is an error here.

   • Thank you so much. It is a good concern, but it was not written as “… voluntary infertility”, rather was 
   … involuntary infertility”. So, it has no error.

2. Lines 43-46 page 3 - long acting methods can and should also be recommended to women who want 
   to space childbearing.

   • Yes, you are absolutely correct.
   • It is addressed (see in the manuscript).

3. Line 24 page 4 - please state what the discontinuation rate of implants is, please don't just say its common

   • Accepted and addressed (see in the manuscript).

Reviewer 2 comments:

1. Page 4; lines 13&14: it is a national contraceptive policy? Please, it should be stated more clearly.

   • Thank you so much for your recognition of our effort.

   • The sentence in the lines 13 and 14 works mainly for levonorgestrel (LNG) contraceptive implant— Jadelle. Thus 
   we have deleted it.

2. For clarity, "implanon removal" on page 5 line 23 may be made "early implanon removal."

   • Accepted and addressed (see in the manuscript).

3. Page 5; lines 25-46: the highlighted portion has not added much to the needed message. Please, delete.

   • Accepted.

4. Page 11; lines 17-39 discussed literature and has not added much to what has already been said. It may be deleted.

   • Accepted.

5. Please, add limitations of the study to the discussion.

   • Accepted and addressed (see it in the manuscript).