Author’s response to reviews

Title: Work-family Balance and the Subjective Well-being of Rural Women in Sichuan, China

Authors:

Yue Shui (shuiyue16@imde.ac.cn)
Dingde Xu (dingdexu@126.com)
Yi Liu (18583287370@163.com)
Shaoquan Liu (liushq@imde.ac.cn)

Version: 2 Date: 03 Sep 2019

Author’s response to reviews:

Reviewer reports:

Renfu Luo (Reviewer 1):
1. If figure 1 is from the paper of Frone et al. 1992, it is better not to include in the manuscript directly. It is better to adapt it according to the context of rural China.

Response : I think this suggestion is quite helpful, so I refer to other studies and proposes a new framework for rural women in China, which can be seen in figure 1. (section 1. Literature Review, line 99-100, page 5)

2. what is the mean of "Result analysis of the econometrical model"?

Response : It means I analysis the data which used the above econometrical model, and get the following result. But this is indeed unclear, so I revise it into “results”. (section 3.2, line 243, page 14)

3. Add a paragraph on the weakness of the study.

Response : The paragraph on the weakness of the study can be seen in discussion part, which includes this study did not take role involvement and role expectation into consideration, and this study is only based on the static cross-section data, which can be further dealt with in the future research. (section 4.1 Discussions, line 350-360, page 20)

Abqariyah Yahya (Reviewer 2):

Dear author, Congratulations on your written manuscript. I enjoyed reading it. I have a small concern regarding the generalizability of your results. You have described that the respondents were sampled
using multistage sampling method. However, the results presented were not taking into consideration the weightage from the cluster and family. Therefore, I would like to suggest that results to be reanalyze using complex sample approach

Thank you.

Response : I think this suggestion is quite helpful, so I take the weightage from the cluster and family (include probability of the sample being selected) into consideration, and get new result analysis of the econometrical model. It shows a little change (include the influence of elderly man in the household and marital status), but the basic conclusion is same. I use the new result in part 3.2 results.

( section 3.2 results, line 263-311, page 15-17)