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Reviewer's report:

PEER REVIEWER ASSESSMENTS:

OBJECTIVE - Full research articles: is there a clear objective that addresses one or several testable research questions? (Brief or other article types: is there a clear objective?)
Yes - there is a clear objective

DESIGN - Is the current approach (including controls and analysis protocols) appropriate for the objective?
Yes - the approach is appropriate

EXECUTION - Are the experiments and analyses performed with sufficient technical rigor to allow confidence in the results?
No - there are minor issues

STATISTICS - Is the use of statistics in the manuscript appropriate?
No - there are issues with the statistics in the study

INTERPRETATION - Is the current interpretation/discussion of the results reasonable and not overstated?
No - there are minor issues

OVERALL MANUSCRIPT POTENTIAL - Has the author addressed your concerns sufficiently for you to now recommend the work as a technically sound contribution? If not, can further revisions be made to make the work technically sound?
Probably - with minor revisions

PEER REVIEWER COMMENTS:

GENERAL COMMENTS: Although the manuscript has improved considerably, the authors did not attend to all the concerns, no reasons were given. Some changes were made.

REQUESTED REVISIONS:
Authors have just added new Table 2.
Change the question "Did you remember the age of menarche?" to "Knows age of menarche"
From which Table did you write the section "Prevalence of sexual and reproductive health related problems"? These findings must be reported in a Table.

Page 12 Line 36 and 39: What is a "syndromes of sexually transmitted infections"?

Page 12 line 46-60 is not shown in any Table.

Table 4: Under the multi-column "Use of the contraceptive method", delete No and Total but add the percentage Yes.

Qualitative results are still presented in the discussion. Authors should present all results in the results section and refer to the results by paraphrasing or summarising important ones in the discussion.

The Authors declined the suggestion to carry out multivariate comparison of deaf/blind just as they did in the descriptives.

ADDITIONAL REQUESTS/SUGGESTIONS:
nil

Are the methods appropriate and well described?
If not, please specify what is required in your comments to the authors.

No

Does the work include the necessary controls?
If not, please specify which controls are required in your comments to the authors.

No

Are the conclusions drawn adequately supported by the data shown?
If not, please explain in your comments to the authors.

No

Are you able to assess any statistics in the manuscript or would you recommend an additional statistical review?
If an additional statistical review is recommended, please specify what aspects require further assessment in your comments to the editors.

I am able to assess the statistics

Quality of written English
Please indicate the quality of language in the manuscript:
Acceptable

Declaration of competing interests
Please complete a declaration of competing interests, considering the following questions:

1. Have you in the past five years received reimbursements, fees, funding, or salary from an organisation that may in any way gain or lose financially from the publication of this manuscript, either now or in the future?
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