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Reviewer's report:

Comments

1. It would be better if the authors do not start the Introduction and the abstract referring to the issue of gender inequity. In fact you are trying to highlight how the people of low socioeconomic group have unmet need within HICs. So, the first sentence of the Introduction section may be deleted.

2. Page 5, line #41, why use the phrase ‘unsupportive sociocultural’? Can we use such value loaded words?

3. The Introduction should be brief and focused- speak of policies, understanding of menstrual health of the, some specific health related outcomes in connection with the unmet menstrual health and hygiene.

4. The result section speaks about some interview outcomes, but the authors did not cite any narratives from the discussion. This exercise is required.

5. I also failed to understand the role of the 13 non-Indigenous stakeholders in the study?

Are the methods appropriate and well described?
If not, please specify what is required in your comments to the authors.

Unable to assess

Does the work include the necessary controls?
If not, please specify which controls are required in your comments to the authors.

Unable to assess

Are the conclusions drawn adequately supported by the data shown?
If not, please explain in your comments to the authors.

No
Are you able to assess any statistics in the manuscript or would you recommend an additional statistical review?
If an additional statistical review is recommended, please specify what aspects require further assessment in your comments to the editors.

Not relevant to this manuscript

**Quality of written English**
Please indicate the quality of language in the manuscript:

Needs some language corrections before being published
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