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Reviewer's report:

Methods:

p4 line 6 - what is an "Introduction plan"? - needs and explanation. This is touched upon in line 12 but I think this should be introduced in the introduction as it is not really part of the methods.

p4 line 7 - spelling "through", and what are the COREQ guidelines for?

p4 line 15 - I don't think recruiting for a study is the primary role of the civic and health communicators - this should be reworded, such that they are assisting recruiting for the study. This whole paragraphs suggests that being part of the study is a requirement of the "Introduction plan", which shouldn't be the case - especially if it is a voluntary study. This first paragraph should be rewritten.

p5 line 7 - should be "arriving in and living in Sweden"

p5 line 10 - I'm still not clear how the interviews were undertaken. I know they were conducted in Swedish but how was the translation actually done- its says through phone - but this is not really clear. Please rewrite to make clearer.

p5 line 16 - should be "on average"

I still think that the writing does not flow and there are many careless errors, spelling and punctuation mistakes and misuse of English grammar. The paper really needs to be read and corrected by a native proficient English speaker. I have addressed some of these but as a reviewer it is not really my responsibility.
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