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Point by point response to editors and reviewers

First of all, the authors would like to thank BMC women’s health reviewers and editors for reviewing our manuscript and providing the necessary comments to be corrected. As per the comments are given, we have made corrections point by point to comment. The authors tried to answer all the issues raised by the reviewers.

Editor’s comment

Question 1: Please clarify whether the questionnaire used in your study was developed for this study or has previously been published elsewhere. If the questionnaire has been published elsewhere please provide a reference to it in your manuscript, if the questionnaire was developed for this study please upload an English language version as a supplementary file.

Response: thank you. The questionnaires were adapted and modified from previous similar literature after considering the local situations. So we attached the questionnaires as supplementary materials
Question 2: Currently two tables are labelled Table 1. Please ensure tables are labelled correctly.  
Response: thank you for your comment. We tried to correct it

Question 3: Please move your tables to the end of your manuscript.  
Response: Thank you. Based on your suggestion we put tables at the end of the manuscript

Question: please rename the introduction section “background”  
Response: thank you for your suggestion. We made a modification (page 2)

Question: please upload your proofread manuscript as a single, final, clean version that does not contain any track changes, comments, highlights, or text in different colours  
Response: thank you. We tried to remove highlights and we submitted only clean version of the manuscript

Reviewer 1

Question: There are few sentences in the manuscript taken from the web  
Response: - thank you for your comment. We tried to re-write it

Question: References; many references are old before 2009 not verified or not checked  
Response: - thank you. We replace some old references.

Reviewer 2

Question: - The abstract should include the definition or what they have considered early marriage; and in statistical methods indicate what is COR and AOR  
Response: thank you for your constructive comments. We incorporate the definition of early manage in the abstract part (page 1, line 1 and 2). We also defined what AOR and COR on the result part and methodology ((page 5, paragraph 5, line 3) and (page 8 under the table))

Question: Table 1 included in the text must be Table 2.  
Response: thank you for your suggestions. Table 1 contains Sociodemographic characteristics, while table 2 contains reason for early marriage. So the two tables are different. Due to this, it is better to write the two tables separately rather than including table 1 in table 2