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Point by point response to reviewers

First of all, the authors would like to thank BMC women’s health reviewers and editors for reviewing our manuscript and providing the necessary comments to be corrected. As per the comments are given, we have made corrections point by point to comment. The authors tried to answer all the issues raised by the reviewers.

Reviewer 1
Question: There are few sentences in the manuscript taken from the web
Response: - thank you for your comment. We tried to re-write it
Question: References; many references are old before 2009 not verified or not checked
Response: - thank you. We replace some old references.

Reviewer 2
Question: - The abstract should include the definition or what they have considered early marriage; and in statistical methods indicate what is COR and AOR
Response: thank you for your constructive comments. We incorporate the definition of early manage in the abstract part. We also defined what AOR and COR on the result part and methodology

Question: Table 1 included in the text must be Table 2.

Response: thank you for your suggestions. Table 1 contains Sociodemographic characteristics, while table 2 contains reason for early marriage. So the two tables are different. Due to this it is better to write the two tables separately rather than including table 1 in table 2