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Reviewer's comments

Congratulations to the authors.

This is a very good study of women's views of a common gynaecological condition.

The following are comments that would require minor corrections.

1. Line 117: The comment of participant 22 'should I wear a nappy' suggests that the participant had either urinary incontinence or fecal incontinence and not pelvic organ prolapse. The study focussed mainly on pelvic organ prolapse, this need to be either modified or the title adjusted.

2. Discussion: The authors comprehensively reported the participants' feelings but did not provide a balanced view in the discussion. For instance, whilst the participants adduced their 'psychological distress', 'sexual difficulties', 'dysfunctional body' to sPOP, the author should provide other scientific explanations that could also contribute to these. For instance, the demands of childcare, psychological trauma accompanying childbirth could also contribute to lack of sexual desire and performance.

Are the methods appropriate and well described?
If not, please specify what is required in your comments to the authors.

Yes

Does the work include the necessary controls?
If not, please specify which controls are required in your comments to the authors.
Yes

**Are the conclusions drawn adequately supported by the data shown?**
If not, please explain in your comments to the authors.

Yes

**Are you able to assess any statistics in the manuscript or would you recommend an additional statistical review?**
If an additional statistical review is recommended, please specify what aspects require further assessment in your comments to the editors.

Not relevant to this manuscript

**Quality of written English**
Please indicate the quality of language in the manuscript:
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