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Summary:
The authors submitted a revised manuscript based on the recommendations and critical statements made. Most of the requested revisions have been made in the revised version of the manuscript. I have some minor but essential comments to the authors.

Comment 1: On page 5 in the background section, first paragraph, it should read "... and they turn to agree ..." (instead of) "and the child to agree ..."

Comment 2: On page 9, the full meaning of DHS should be provided since it is the first time DHS is mentioned.

Comment 3: Sample size used for the study is only mentioned in the response to reviewers but not in the Methods section of the manuscript.

Comment 4: The descriptive results in Table 1 show that 26% of the women in the sample were in the fourth wealth quintile category and not in the highest wealth quintile category as stated by the authors on page 11.

Comment 5: Table 1, explain why the total score for wealth quintile is 1614 and not the weighted sample of 1613 used in the study.

Comment 6: On page 10, were the districts and communities involved in the Focus Group Discussions and Key Informant Interviews selected for this study and if yes, how? The authors only explained how the participants were recruited.

Please include all comments for the authors in this box rather than uploading your report as an attachment. Please only upload as attachments annotated versions of manuscripts, graphs, supporting materials or other aspects of your report which cannot be included in a text format.

**Are the methods appropriate and well described?**

If not, please specify what is required in your comments to the authors.

Yes
Does the work include the necessary controls?
If not, please specify which controls are required in your comments to the authors.

Yes

Are the conclusions drawn adequately supported by the data shown?
If not, please explain in your comments to the authors.

Yes

Are you able to assess any statistics in the manuscript or would you recommend an additional statistical review?
If an additional statistical review is recommended, please specify what aspects require further assessment in your comments to the editors.
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Quality of written English
Please indicate the quality of language in the manuscript:
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