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Reviewer's report:

The authors have adequately responded to many of the reviewer comments. However a few concerns remain.

(1) The authors were asked whether microalbuminuria (ACR>30 mg/g) was associated with parity. They showed in their responses that this was indeed the case (Fig. S1, Table S1). However, the data were not included in the manuscript. I think these data are interesting, and could be mentioned in the Results. The data could be included as a Supplementary Figure and Table as they presented to the Reviewers.

(2) Results, p. 10, para 2. Authors state that logistical regression analyses were significant for 2 live births, but this seemed to be the case only for Model 1?

(3) Discussion, p. 10, para 2. It is still not clear to me why eGFR showed a positive relationship with albuminuria? Prodromal renal hyperfiltration?

(4) Discussion, p. 11, last para. Authors refer to "previous studies". Could they please cite these previous studies here?

(5) Discussion, p. 12, line 235. "fertility"?

(6) Discussion, p. 12, line 241. "result" or "reflect"?

(7) Discussion, p. 13, lines 251-4. This explanation seems a bit of a stretch.

(8) Discussion, p. 13, line 264. What "unhealthy lifestyle characteristics" are you referring to. Smoking and ETOH?
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