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Reviewer's report:

Please include all comments for the authors in this box rather than uploading your report as an attachment. Please only upload as attachments annotated versions of manuscripts, graphs, supporting materials or other aspects of your report which cannot be included in a text format.

I wanted to thank you for raising such important issue nowadays

I have some queries that I think it should be revised

1. I know that the study nature was prospective, why you didn't include more women or lengthen data collection period for me the generalisability (wide use of your data) is restricted by conducting the study over 2 months in single center over only 21 women and not even homogeneous as 6 of them had conization I know well this is unchangeable now esp you finished data collection since 2015 but at least you should offer an explanation

2. The way of representing your data seems subjective more than objective

Why you didn't use frequency and percentage in representing demographic data of women instead of treating your data as if it was a case series

MOREOVER you could recognize specific assigned responses of women to get a quantitative as well as qualitative representation of the health care information problems instead of writing conversations.

3. The manuscript needs meticulous English editing as there are repeated obvious grammar errors.
4. You did great job in your response to reviewer's comments, so i hope you can reply to my points as well.

**Are the methods appropriate and well described?**  
If not, please specify what is required in your comments to the authors.

No

**Does the work include the necessary controls?**  
If not, please specify which controls are required in your comments to the authors.

No

**Are the conclusions drawn adequately supported by the data shown?**  
If not, please explain in your comments to the authors.

Unable to assess

**Are you able to assess any statistics in the manuscript or would you recommend an additional statistical review?**  
If an additional statistical review is recommended, please specify what aspects require further assessment in your comments to the editors.

I recommend additional statistical review

**Quality of written English**  
Please indicate the quality of language in the manuscript:

Needs some language corrections before being published
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