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Author’s response to reviews:

Dear Editor of BMC – Women’s Health

Thank you for this opportunity to re-re-submit our manuscript "Recurrent apical prolapse after high uterosacral ligament suspension – in a heterogenous cohort characterised by a high prevalence of previous pelvic operations." (BMWH-D-19-00124R2)” for publication in BMC – Women’s Health.

Below, please find our answers on the sought revisions. In addition, changes to the manuscript are explained below.

Yours sincerely,

Katrine Dahl Pedersen
1.) Please note that Dr. Marianne Glavind-Kristensen in your manuscript file differ from those entered in the submission system - please correct so they are consistent with each other.

This has been corrected.

DECLARATIONS SECTION:

We note that the Declarations section in your manuscript has not been completed and may be missing information. Please read the following information and edit your manuscript accordingly. Failure to adhere to our policies may result in rejection of your manuscript.

Please note that all manuscript submissions to BMC Women's Health must contain a Declarations section which includes a response to each of the mandatory sub-headings listed below. Please refer to the journal's Submission Guidelines for information regarding the criteria for each sub-section (LINK). Where a mandatory Declarations sub-heading is not relevant to your study design or article type, please write "Not applicable" in these sections.

For the 'Availability of data and materials' section, please provide information about where the data supporting your findings can be found. We encourage authors to deposit their datasets in publicly available repositories (where available and appropriate), or to be presented within the manuscript and/or additional supporting files. Please note that identifying/confidential patient data should not be shared. Authors who do not wish to share their data must confirm this under this sub-heading and also provide their reasons. For further guidance on how to format this section, please refer to BioMed Central's editorial policies page (see links below).

Declarations
- Ethics approval and consent to participate
- Consent to publish
- Availability of data and materials
- Competing interests
- Funding
- Authors' Contributions
- Acknowledgements

To accommodate the comment above, we have changed the 'Availability of data and materials' section, using one of the proposed forms under 'editorial policies' on the webpage (https://www.biomedcentral.com/getpublished/editorial-policies#availability+of+data+and+materials).

From:
Availability of data and materials:
The dataset is not publicly available due to the policy of the Danish Data Protection Agency demanding anonymisation and annihilation of personally identifiable data. On reasonable request, anonymised data are available from the corresponding author.

To:
Availability of data and materials:
The datasets generated and analysed during the current study are not publicly available, the study
population is small, and Denmark is a small country. With more detailed data, it might be possible to identify individual patients, which is not legal. However anonymised data are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

We believe the Declarations section in our manuscript is completed, if you find that it’s still missing information, please be specific about what is missing.
Thank you for your patience.

Yours sincerely,

Katrine Dahl Pedersen