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**Author’s response to reviews:**

1. Authors stated that in postmenopausal women, polyp diameter is not a risk factor for endometrial carcinoma (<10 mm vs >10 mm). However, \( p = 0.055 \) and this indicates that there is a strong trend for carcinoma risk for polyps greater than 10 mm. In larger series, this could be more significant. This issue be discussed.

2. Endometrial thickness 5-11 mm and endometrial thickness > 11 mm are regrouped.

3. TVUSG has a great value in ruling out polyps as the negative LR was 0.087. But this value was found for premenopausal women. This point is clarified both in the text and the abstract.