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Reviewer’s report:

thanks for great effort in dealing with this interesting topic, but i have some comments:

1. abstract needs to be improved especially conclusion (too hazy).

2. introduction should be more directed towards pathophysiology of endometriosis and previous studies.

3. methods section is lacking cesarean section technique and different modalities of closure, type of suture materials, layers of closure, operation duration, medication........etc which could affect the occurrence of endometriomas and this was reflected on results section as well as discussion and this point is the greatest pitfall of the manuscript and its value.

4. manuscript must be edited for language rules and punctuation.

5. statistical review is recommended also. some of values raise attention how they are not significant.

6. table 2 to be edited carefully.

7. writing result section should address the key finding of each table and leave the numbers to be reviewed in the table itself.

8. the figure has no actual value.

9. discussion should be focused on your key results and previous studies (specifically) not putting hypothesis for each finding without proofs.

10. you got a great chance to measure out the incidence of scar endomtrioma comparing cases to the number of CS in the study period, great chance to measure the recurrence, great chance to search deeper through the CS details to find more data about contributing factors so kindly earn it.

Are the methods appropriate and well described?
If not, please specify what is required in your comments to the authors.

No
Does the work include the necessary controls?
If not, please specify which controls are required in your comments to the authors.

No

Are the conclusions drawn adequately supported by the data shown?
If not, please explain in your comments to the authors.

No

Are you able to assess any statistics in the manuscript or would you recommend an additional statistical review?
If an additional statistical review is recommended, please specify what aspects require further assessment in your comments to the editors.

I recommend additional statistical review

Quality of written English
Please indicate the quality of language in the manuscript:

Not suitable for publication unless extensively edited
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