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Reviewer's report:

Overall, this is a very good study aimed at exploring the risks of gender-based violence against Syrian adolescent girls and young women in Turkey and how these risks were shaped by their displacement.

Title: This is OK, but can be improved for global readership.

Abstract:

Method: The authors mentioned 'A vignette was used to promote discussion of the issues facing Syrian adolescents and young women in Turkey, and how these were influenced by their displacement'. What is vignette? The authors should clarify.

Introduction:
This is good but the authors can shorten it to enhance readership.

METHODS:

1. The authors stated: Eligible persons were given information about the study and invited to participate in the FGD, which were held at the…
   The authors should vividly state the inclusion and exclusion criteria.

2. The authors stated: For participants aged 15 years old, parental consent was not obtained due to the high rate of child marriage under age 18 and acknowledging that many families may have been separated as a result of displacement.

The authors should cite a reference to substantiate this.

RESULTS

This is Ok

Discussion

This is Ok.
References

This need to be improved especially on the non-journal articles cited.

**Are the methods appropriate and well described?**
If not, please specify what is required in your comments to the authors.

Yes

**Does the work include the necessary controls?**
If not, please specify which controls are required in your comments to the authors.

No

**Are the conclusions drawn adequately supported by the data shown?**
If not, please explain in your comments to the authors.

Yes

**Are you able to assess any statistics in the manuscript or would you recommend an additional statistical review?**
If an additional statistical review is recommended, please specify what aspects require further assessment in your comments to the editors.

I am able to assess the statistics

**Quality of written English**
Please indicate the quality of language in the manuscript:

Acceptable
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