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Reviewer's report:

This manuscript studies the prevalence of asymptomatic bacteriuria in patients with pelvic organ prolapse in a city in Nigeria.

This manuscript sounds interesting, nicely reviewed and will be of interest to BMC journal readers. To my knowledge, it is the first time to study this research point. At this moment, I congratulate the authors for this nice work. However, there are minor comments to the authors; they need to address.

Specific comments:

* P8 L37: I suggest using "included" rather than "involved".

* P10 L32: (Table 1) only is fine.

* P12 L49-52: I agree with the authors to compare their results to those in pregnant women. However, they need to explain why? Something like "The pregnant women are at risk of right and sometimes bilateral hydronephrosis due to the pressure induced by the gravid uterus on the right or both ureters respectively (citation). This seems comparable to POP where kinking of the ureters is the cause of hydronephrosis" (rephrase as the authors prefer).

* P13 L17-19, vesicovaginal fistulae have a completely different etiology for UTI, so shouldn't be mentioned. It doesn't make sense at all. Please, delete.

Are the methods appropriate and well described?

If not, please specify what is required in your comments to the authors.

Yes
Does the work include the necessary controls?
If not, please specify which controls are required in your comments to the authors.
Yes

Are the conclusions drawn adequately supported by the data shown?
If not, please explain in your comments to the authors.
Yes

Are you able to assess any statistics in the manuscript or would you recommend an additional statistical review?
If an additional statistical review is recommended, please specify what aspects require further assessment in your comments to the editors.
I am able to assess the statistics

Quality of written English
Please indicate the quality of language in the manuscript:
Acceptable
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