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Reviewer's report:

General: Interesting, relevant. Well justified to study in-depth the challenges and strategies adopted while providing HBNC services. Wealth of lessons to be learnt from the study will help a scale up process.

Title: Appears adequate

Abstract: Adequate

Introduction:

Line 29: "Bang et al [1]. In a landmark ----". Delete the full stop in between to form a continuous sentence.


Line 51: It more usual to use the word "lessons" rather than "learning"

Methods:

Sampling: No of Sakhis participating in In-depth interview (18) and no for FGD (21) do not add up to total of 35. 8 Sakhis participated in both. Not ideal. What is the explanation for using those same Sakhis in both the in-depth interview and FGD?

Results:

Line 12: "Are view of the data----". Not clear. Please correct this sentence.

Discussion: Well written.
Are the methods appropriate and well described?
If not, please specify what is required in your comments to the authors.

Yes

Does the work include the necessary controls?
If not, please specify which controls are required in your comments to the authors.

Unable to assess

Are the conclusions drawn adequately supported by the data shown?
If not, please explain in your comments to the authors.

Yes

Are you able to assess any statistics in the manuscript or would you recommend an additional statistical review?
If an additional statistical review is recommended, please specify what aspects require further assessment in your comments to the editors.

Not relevant to this manuscript

Quality of written English
Please indicate the quality of language in the manuscript:

Needs some language corrections before being published
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