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Reviewer's report:

Thank you for the opportunity to re-review this important manuscript. The authors have thoroughly revised the manuscript and have adequately addressed my prior concerns. I would like to raise some additional considerations for the authors in regard to their revised multivariable analyses:

1. Please provide justification for the variable selection for the multivariable model in the statistical analysis section of the Methods. Were variables selected for the multivariable model based on the outcomes of univariate analyses, extant literature, or some kind of forward or backward selection for model building?
2. It is possible for collinearity to exist between some of the variables included in the model. How did the authors assess the potential for collinearity?
3. The discussion section would be strengthened by incorporating the multivariable findings. Based on the multivariable findings, the authors may consider providing some guidance in regard to high-priority groups to target with future research and educational interventions (e.g., younger women, urban women who do not use condoms and who are low income).

Are the methods appropriate and well described?
If not, please specify what is required in your comments to the authors.

No

Does the work include the necessary controls?
If not, please specify which controls are required in your comments to the authors.

Unable to assess

Are the conclusions drawn adequately supported by the data shown?
If not, please explain in your comments to the authors.

Yes
Are you able to assess any statistics in the manuscript or would you recommend an additional statistical review? 
If an additional statistical review is recommended, please specify what aspects require further assessment in your comments to the editors.

I am able to assess the statistics

**Quality of written English**
Please indicate the quality of language in the manuscript:
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