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Author’s response to reviews:

Dear Editor,
Thank you for the additional suggestions. We have followed them.
You will find a point by point description.
We hope you will find it sufficiently improved for publication

Editor Comments:

1) Please include a complete Declarations section, with all the sub-sections listed in the order specified below.
"OK done"

2) Please include a section "Figure legends" after References: the figure legends should be listed here.
"OK"

3) Please provide a clean copy of your manuscript at this stage, by accepting your tracked changes before uploading your revision
"OK"

4) Please remove all additional files not intended for publication, such as cover letters.
"OK"

Reviewer reports:

Jane Shen-Gunther (Reviewer 2): The content, structure, and English grammar of the revised manuscript are significantly improved.
However, the manuscript still needs some language and spelling corrections before being published.

"Ok we have tried to further improve the language and indeed found some typos that were corrected."

In the Discussion Section (page 10, 1st paragraph), it is important for the authors to mention the reason for the differences in carcinogenic potential among various HPV genotypes.

Previous studies indicate that the evolutionary distances between HPV species (may it be constructed from the HPV L1 or E6/7 genes) correlate with the level of IARC-defined carcinogenicity.

Please see references below:


"Ok some discussion was added and the 2 references were added thank you for the suggestion."