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Reviewer's report:

Major Compulsory Revisions: None

Minor Essential Revisions: The paper has a number of minor language errors that should be corrected.

Discretionary Revisions: I find the Tables 2-4 difficult to read and follow. Perhaps this is my lack of familiarity with this type of review. Perhaps a summary table would be more useful? I envision this summary table listing, for example, Age of woman and the number of studies that had a positive, negative or not statistically significant association.

My biggest frustration with the paper I perceive that the large majority of the results section in which the authors contextualize the findings are not verifiable the relatively limited information provided in tables. It is not clear to me if it is possible to fix this given the study methodology.

Level of interest: An article of limited interest

Quality of written English: Acceptable

Statistical review: No, the manuscript does not need to be seen by a statistician.
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