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Reviewer’s report:

First, please accept my apologies for the late returned review. The manuscript reads more easy now, which is a clear advantage.

In the background section, line 56, I was wondering whether 70% participation rate is with or without the reminder.

And what is the coverage incl. the opportunistic screening?

Finally, in your discussion: The participation increased as per reminders, one or two, but did that affect the coverage, or was the increase in participation countered by a drop in opportunistic screening?

Other than the above which are discretionary revisions for my part, merely my thoughts, this manuscript is now ready for publication. Its important informations, and many countries will benefit from the ability to compare experiences to Finland by use of this manuscript. Best of luck

Jesper Bonde
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