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**Reviewer's report:**

**Major compulsory revisions**

1. Description of methodology is grossly inadequate and by looking at this much, it seems weak.
2. Analysis was grossly inadequate to reach a conclusion
3. Conclusions are premature and are not supported by data and analysis.
4. There are lots of limitations in this study and none of them have been mentioned.
5. Plenty of grammatical and language errors

**Setting:** The study is done among pregnant mothers attending a clinic. More details about the setting is required. “The subjects therefore, represent subgroups of Bangladeshi pregnant women” is not justified.

**Study population:** are all the antenatal mothers attending the clinics were included in the study? How many attended the clinic? How you selected the mothers? What were your inclusion criteria? Have you excluded anybody from this study?

At what gestation were the hemoglobin checked. This is very important. Is it during first visit or any visit?

What method was used to detect hemoglobin?

It is premature to conclude by doing this analysis. Inferences were not appropriate.

**Level of interest:** An article of limited interest

**Quality of written English:** Needs some language corrections before being published

**Statistical review:** Yes, and I have assessed the statistics in my report.
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