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Reviewer's report:

Major Compulsory Revisions
All concerns have been addressed, and explanations very satisfactory

Minor Essential Revisions
All concerns addressed

Discretionary Revisions
All addressed

Some typos/grammatical changes need to be made:
p4 line 99 should be "sufficient length and depth reduced the risk..",
p5 line 116 "OASIS that" should be "OASIS who",
p6 line 122 "Hospitals electronic" should be "hospitals' electronic",
p9 line 197 "there significant" should be "there was significant",
p11 line 246 "episiotomies characteristics" should be "episiotomy characteristics"

Level of interest: An article of outstanding merit and interest in its field

Quality of written English: Needs some language corrections before being published

Statistical review: Yes, but I do not feel adequately qualified to assess the statistics.
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