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Reviewer’s report:

The study reported in this manuscript addressed an important public health issues. The authors clearly stated the purpose of the study and used appropriate methods to conduct the study. The article is well written without any significant grammatical errors.

Major Compulsory Revisions

1. Please provide a more clear explanation of information about HIV was included in this manuscript. While the immunosuppression caused by HIV/AIDS can be a risk factor for cervical dysphasia, there is no clear explanation of why that information is reported in this manuscript.

2. Please make sure that you include a reference to table 4 in your manuscript. There should be an explanation of the data in table 4 including the manner in which the frequency of responses were computed. What is the denominator?

3. The discussion of limitations should include a limitation of the representativeness of the study results since there was such a high percentage of HIV positive males included in the sample.

4. The inclusion of the percentage of HIV positive men in the abstract appears to be irrelevant.

Discretionary Revisions

4. The inclusion of data about the participant’s HIV status is questionable being that the authors did not explicitly discuss the association between HIV/AIDS and cervical cancer.

Level of interest: An article of outstanding merit and interest in its field

Quality of written English: Acceptable

Statistical review: No, the manuscript does not need to be seen by a statistician.
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