Reviewer’s report

Title: Equity and the financial costs of informal caregiving in palliative care: a critical debate

Version: 0 Date: 14 Mar 2020

Reviewer: Luis Capelas

Reviewer's report:

The subject of the paper is fascinating. However, I think that is present a critical methodological issue, which is: "how the papers mentioned in the discussion were reached and selected?”. In my opinion, the best methodology to achieve the mentioned goals, it would be a Scoping Review or similar. Without a rigorous method to identify the literature that works the financial costs of informal caregiving, how can be guaranteed that we include all the papers and the review incorporate the more important evidence? The article does not give any information about the process of identification, screening, eligibility and any specific details on the papers included. Despite that we are in the presence of an critical topic in public health palliative care approach, it will be essential to review the paper to improve the strength it.
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