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Reviewer's report:

I think the authors have addressed my major comments about detail and methods for the logistic regression. The clarity of language and explanation could still be improved but I think the current version is much better. One remaining point is again regarding terminology: the authors use the term 'independent' variable to mean a variable included as a potential explanatory variable that was not found to be a significant contributor to variance in the outcome of interest (e.g. Page 4 line 28). This is potentially confusing as some researchers use this term (independent variable) to designate any variable that is included in the model (the outcome variable is then the 'dependent' variable). Suggest perhaps clarifying this for the readers e.g. "The following variables were included in the model to explain PA/motivation: X, Y, Z, SS, RR. Of these only SS (B, P=) and RR (B, P=) were significant (Table 3)."
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Are you able to assess any statistics in the manuscript or would you recommend an additional statistical review?
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