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Reviewer's report:

This is a well thought over study addressing the challenges faced by patients and family members on HPN. Need few more clarifications:

1. What is the time frame of the CT derived sarcopenia score and the discharge date?

2. I did not see any survival data in the two groups. Did the patients who were interviewed have better QOL or better acceptance of HPN compared to the ones who did not?

3. Incorporating any data on the differences in the two groups will make the article more robust.

Are the methods appropriate and well described? 
If not, please specify what is required in your comments to the authors.

Does the work include the necessary controls? 
If not, please specify which controls are required in your comments to the authors.

Are the conclusions drawn adequately supported by the data shown? 
If not, please explain in your comments to the authors.

Are you able to assess any statistics in the manuscript or would you recommend an additional statistical review? 
If an additional statistical review is recommended, please specify what aspects require further assessment in your comments to the editors.

Quality of written English
Please indicate the quality of language in the manuscript:
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