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Reviewer's report:

Please include all comments for the authors in this box rather than uploading your report as an attachment. Please only upload as attachments annotated versions of manuscripts, graphs, supporting materials or other aspects of your report which cannot be included in a text format.

Please overwrite this text when adding your comments to the authors.

The authors have made a good job at revising this informative paper. There are a few minor revisions that are still needed before I feel this is publishable.

A careful read through of English is required. There are I note some minor corrections needed. For instance, in the discussion section, second paragraph in first sentence please remove the word 'only'

Abstract:

Background last sentence - need to add who was being hindered- as in pharmacists participation in PC.

Method - add in sentence on overall score that the 'domains were developed for the purposes of this study into a binary category'. In method section, you also need to state that the general knowledge questions were relating to palliative care

Results- need to add a first sentence to state actual number of pharmacists that responded and the response rate. Current first sentence needs to make it clear who is judging that they don't have adequate knowledge. Last sentence either take out or make it clearer what attitude-related statements you are referring to.

Background

Can you clarify whether your study is the first of its kind in Nigeria and if not apart from looking at factors that may hinder participation what else does it add?
Method

Instrument for data collection please clarify how it was developed for the study.

Are the methods appropriate and well described?
If not, please specify what is required in your comments to the authors.

Yes

Does the work include the necessary controls?
If not, please specify which controls are required in your comments to the authors.

Yes

Are the conclusions drawn adequately supported by the data shown?
If not, please explain in your comments to the authors.

Yes

Are you able to assess any statistics in the manuscript or would you recommend an additional statistical review?
If an additional statistical review is recommended, please specify what aspects require further assessment in your comments to the editors.

I am able to assess the statistics

Quality of written English
Please indicate the quality of language in the manuscript:
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