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Reviewer's report:

Response to revisions:

Thank you to the authors for taking my comments on board. I feel satisfied that these have now been addressed and I enjoyed reading the manuscript in its current form.

I have just one minor comment in response to the revisions - My suggestion regarding including some literature on theoretical models of caregiving was intended to benefit the background literature from which to frame the authors' findings and discussion overall. I do not think it is necessary to highlight as a limitation that there was no theoretical framework from which to suggest strategies to enhance and safeguard altruistic motivations of caregiving, as the manuscript now reads.

Minor typing errors:

Line 22 - there is a mistake in the word carers': "motivating factors presented more so the cares' inner personal perception"

Line 27 = there is a mistake in the word earning: "2) Earing a living and financial independence"

Of Table 1, under educational level formal caregivers, the number of respondents appears to be missing (while the percentage of respondents is 8.4).

Are the methods appropriate and well described?
If not, please specify what is required in your comments to the authors.

Yes

Does the work include the necessary controls?
If not, please specify which controls are required in your comments to the authors.

Yes
Are the conclusions drawn adequately supported by the data shown?
If not, please explain in your comments to the authors.

No

Are you able to assess any statistics in the manuscript or would you recommend an additional statistical review?
If an additional statistical review is recommended, please specify what aspects require further assessment in your comments to the editors.

Not relevant to this manuscript

Quality of written English
Please indicate the quality of language in the manuscript:
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