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Author’s response to reviews:

Editor Comments:

1. Please provide a List of abbreviations after the Conclusions section. If abbreviations are used in the text, they should be defined in the text at first use and included in this list.
We included a list of abbreviations after the conclusion and defined them in the text at first use.

2. In the Ethics approval section of the Declarations, please use the full spelling of CCMO instead of the acronym.
We used the full spelling the first time we used it.

3. Please also clarify whether consent obtained from participants was written or verbal. If the consent was verbal please include reasoning for this and state if an ethics committee approved this method of obtaining consent.
We clarified this with an extra sentence.

4. Please include all of the authors’ initials in the Authors’ contributions section.
We included the initials of all authors.
5. Please upload Appendix 1 as a supplemental file and upon doing so, remove it from the main manuscript.

We removed it from the main manuscript and uploaded it as appendix 1.

6. At this stage, please upload your manuscript as a single, final, clean version that does not contain any tracked changes, comments, highlights, strikethroughs or text in different colors. All relevant tables/figures/additional files should also be clean versions. Figures (and additional files) should remain uploaded as separate files.

We deleted all the highlights.