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Reviewer's report:

Many thanks for the opportunity to review this revised manuscript. You have addressed the majority of my comments clearly and effectively. The only remaining request I have is that it would be helpful to include a supplementary appendix that outlines in more detail the process followed for the review of reviews and how the extracted content was used to derive core information, alongside how agreement was determined and themes derived. This would help with the transparency in reporting of the methods. This could include involvement of an information specialist, the wider team and expertise involved, audio recording, and if possible, including a flow chart of number of studies etc reviewed at each stage to get a sense of the magnitude of literature reviewed. It would also be helpful to include details on methods for how the VRG views were used to inform decision-making (such as consensus building). This would be a very helpful addition to the manuscript and increase clarity of the approach adopted. This would be the only outstanding request from my review.
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