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Reviewer's report:

"STATISTICAL REVIEWER ASSESSMENT:

Is the study design appropriate for the research question (considering whether the analyzed population accurately reflects the design and whether you see any problems with control/comparison groups, e.g., likely confounders)?

Yes - overall design, population, and control groups are appropriate

Are methodologies adequate and well implemented (considering whether assumptions are addressed and whether analyses are robust)?

No - there are minor issues

Are the analyses adequately communicated (considering whether reporting details are adequate and whether figures and tables are well labeled and described)?

No - there are minor issues

Does the interpretation accurately reflect the analyses without overstatement (considering whether limitations/bias are acknowledged and whether accurate descriptors, e.g., 'significant', are used)?

No - there are minor issues

Could an appropriately REVISED version of this work represent a statistically sound contribution?

Probably - with minor revisions
STATISTICAL REVIEWER COMMENTS:

Overall, this study was well designed. Although there still have space to improve, the statistical analyses were comprehensive and the results were presented were appropriate with statistic evidence.

REQUESTED REVISIONS:

The manuscript could be improved if the following analyses can not conducted or the questions can be addressed:

1. Since the study include three cohorts of patients: Europe, Canada and Australia, were the cohort effects tested?

2. For multivariate analysis, it seems that the model selection was manually conducted by removing variables one by one. Why did not use model selection procedure, such as backward method automatically select variables?

3. The study mentioned collinearity, did the authors test VIF or other measures of collinearity?

4. For measure model accuracy, it is better to calculate the probability of prediction and compare them with the observed proportion using calibration plot to visualize the accuracy of estimation.

5. We see c (AUC) in the plot, can your also report C-statistics in final model, such Harrell's c or Uno's c?"

Are the methods appropriate and well described?
If not, please specify what is required in your comments to the authors.

Yes

Does the work include the necessary controls?
If not, please specify which controls are required in your comments to the authors.

Yes

Are the conclusions drawn adequately supported by the data shown?
If not, please explain in your comments to the authors.

No
Are you able to assess any statistics in the manuscript or would you recommend an additional statistical review?
If an additional statistical review is recommended, please specify what aspects require further assessment in your comments to the editors.

I am able to assess the statistics

Quality of written English
Please indicate the quality of language in the manuscript:

Acceptable

Declaration of competing interests
Please complete a declaration of competing interests, considering the following questions:

1. Have you in the past five years received reimbursements, fees, funding, or salary from an organisation that may in any way gain or lose financially from the publication of this manuscript, either now or in the future?

2. Do you hold any stocks or shares in an organisation that may in any way gain or lose financially from the publication of this manuscript, either now or in the future?
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