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Author’s response to reviews:

RESPONSE TO REVIEWS
Editor Comments:

1. Thank you for including information regarding your ethics approval. However, in the Ethics approval section of your Declarations, please provide the full names of each of the committees that approved this study and reference numbers if appropriate.
RESPONSE: We have added the full list of REBs that approved the study along with the reference number for each.

2. Please change the “Completing interests” to “Competing interests”.
RESPONSE: Typo has been corrected.

3. At this stage, please upload your manuscript as a single, final, clean version that does not contain any tracked changes, comments, highlights, strikethroughs or text in different colors. All relevant tables/figures/additional files should also be clean versions. Figures (and additional files) should remain uploaded as separate files.
RESPONSE: A clean copy of the manuscript has been uploaded.

Reviewer reports:
Eva Bergstraesser (Reviewer 1): I would just suggest a minor change at the end of the background section: please add the reference for "our larger study" as this might not be clear to the reader that the above mentioned study is meant. The same should be done within the description of the instrument (second page line 31).
RESPONSE: We have added the two references to our larger study (8,9) to the sentence at the end of the background section. On the second page at line 31 we added a reference to the original development of the instrument (10) as the focus groups and interviews were done as part of that original development.