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Author’s response to reviews:

Editor Comments:

In addition to the reviewers' comments below, please address the following Editorial concerns:

1. Clean version

At this stage, please submit a clean version of your manuscript without any tracked changes, or otherwise highlighted text, as this may interfere with the production process. -> Clean version was submitted, as suggested.

Reviewer reports:

Judith A. Paice (Reviewer 1): I believe the authors have satisfactorily addressed this reviewer's concerns and suggestions. -> We appreciate the reviewer's positive comments.

Reviewer 2 (Reviewer 2): PEER REVIEWER COMMENTS:

GENERAL COMMENTS: The authors have responded well to all issues raised by reviewers and have improved the paper accordingly, especially for an international reader. However, in doing do they have introduced another named staff group - 'nurse aid' (page 5). This staff group does not then feature in any of the paper there after, in description of sample, research findings etc.
This has created a gap for the reader in that now we have learnt of the staff group and their difference to that of a carer, where did they sit within the study?

REQUESTED REVISIONS:

The authors need to explain why nurse aids, though acknowledging their existence, do not feature in any other part of the study and its findings. -> We understand this point. We clarified the difference between care helper and nurse aid in Background section, and described specific reasons for not including nurse aides as study participants in Background and Methods sections (Background section, page 5, line 6~13; Methods section, page 6, line 6~8).