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Reviewer's report:

This is an interesting paper and the authors are to be commended as there is no way I could write a paper in another language. I have made some grammatical suggestions in text on the PDF. However, I did find it hard to follow at times, particularly the discussion and there seems to be overlap in the categories. I wonder if this has occurred during the translation from Swedish to English? The paper did not flow easily and I had to work hard to follow concepts and how they related to each other across the paper. It would have been helpful to link the discussion more overtly back to the research question and categories. I have made comments in text for the authors in all areas. My other comment relates to the use of 'negative' (e.g. emotions) in the manuscript and again this may be a Swedish to English translation issue. "Negative" implies a value judgement and distress about dying or reluctance to take a piece of assistive equipment is not negative, rather a normal part of patient experience.

Are the methods appropriate and well described?  
If not, please specify what is required in your comments to the authors.
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Does the work include the necessary controls?  
If not, please specify which controls are required in your comments to the authors.

Yes

Are the conclusions drawn adequately supported by the data shown?  
If not, please explain in your comments to the authors.

No

Are you able to assess any statistics in the manuscript or would you recommend an additional statistical review?  
If an additional statistical review is recommended, please specify what aspects require further assessment in your comments to the editors.

Not relevant to this manuscript
Quality of written English
Please indicate the quality of language in the manuscript:

Needs some language corrections before being published
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