Reviewer’s report

Title: Advance Care Planning after Hospital Discharge: Qualitative Analysis of Facilitators and Barriers from Patient Interviews

Version: 1 Date: 16 Jul 2018

Reviewer: Bram Tilburgs

Reviewer's report:

Thank you for giving me another opportunity to revise this study which deals with an important subject. The revisions made by the authors have improved the manuscript. In my opinion there are still some issues which have to be addressed.

Background

On page 13, line 14, the words "too health" occur. I do not know if the word "too" is rightly used.

On page 13 line 22 the behavioural framework is mentioned. Since there is no explanation in the background section of what this framework means, this section is unclear. In addition, it is not clear of why it is important that only one study has used this framework.

Methods

On page 14 line 14-24, the authors mention that their inclusion criteria are based on other studies. Only one reference is mentioned.

Results

Page 17 line 4. Do "Power and Attorney" have to be spelled with capitals?

Conclusions

In the method section, the authors state that the TDF and COM-B can be used to link specific barriers and facilitators to interventions and policy types. To me it seems that the TDF and COMP-B were mainly used to form categories and themes. Although the authors have expanded
the discussion session, to me it is still not clear how the TDF and COMP-B helped to link barriers and facilitators to specific improvements for the intervention researched.

On page 17 lines 22-23 the words "difficult tension" are mentioned. I do not know if this is right.

**Are the methods appropriate and well described?**
If not, please specify what is required in your comments to the authors.

Yes

**Does the work include the necessary controls?**
If not, please specify which controls are required in your comments to the authors.

Yes

**Are the conclusions drawn adequately supported by the data shown?**
If not, please explain in your comments to the authors.

No

**Are you able to assess any statistics in the manuscript or would you recommend an additional statistical review?**
If an additional statistical review is recommended, please specify what aspects require further assessment in your comments to the editors.

Not relevant to this manuscript

**Quality of written English**
Please indicate the quality of language in the manuscript:

Needs some language corrections before being published
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