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Editorial request:

---------------------

In addition, could you clarify if the funding body peer reviewed the protocol prior to granting approval? If so, could you please provide their reports or a link to the relevant website confirming they peer-review proposals?

The authors can confirm that the Australian Department of Health and Ageing reviewed and funded a detailed proposal for the project reported in this manuscript upon application to a competitive scheme entitled ‘The Aged Care Service Improvement and Healthy Ageing Grants Flexible Fund’. Whilst this scheme is no longer offered, details can still be accessed via the following website - https://www.dss.gov.au/grants/aged-care-service-improvement-and-healthy-ageing-grants