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Reviewer's report:

You mention 2 focus groups - 20 volunteers in each; please describe them with more details

In the results section you state:

The test-re-test correlation for the total ADD-GOHAI score was 0.75. However, you have not mentioned that you performed test-retest in the Method section?????? How many participants were retested, how many days or months between retest?

You also wrote: The item-scale correlation coefficients ranged from 0.54 to 0.75. - From Table 4 I read item scale correlation differently?

The participants with poor oral health perception had lower scores on the ADD-GOHAI. - instead of on - of?

In this study, we found that the GOHAI-SPM is able to discriminate between oral health state and psychological and functional considerations (on the bases of which result?). Or I don't understand what you wanted to say

The GOHAI-SPM cannot identify periodontal disease. However, aspects related t common - typing error, t should be to

Are the methods appropriate and well described?
If not, please specify what is required in your comments to the authors.

Yes

Does the work include the necessary controls?
If not, please specify which controls are required in your comments to the authors.

Yes

Are the conclusions drawn adequately supported by the data shown?
If not, please explain in your comments to the authors.

Yes
Are you able to assess any statistics in the manuscript or would you recommend an additional statistical review?
If an additional statistical review is recommended, please specify what aspects require further assessment in your comments to the editors.

I am able to assess the statistics

Quality of written English
Please indicate the quality of language in the manuscript:
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