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Author’s response to reviews:

Reply to Editor

1. Concern of the Associate Editor: Your manuscript ““How I Whiten My Teeth”: YouTube™ as a patient information resource for teeth whitening” (OHEA-D-20-00255R1) has been assessed by our reviewers. Based on these reports, and my own assessment as Editor, I am pleased to inform you that it is potentially acceptable for publication in BMC Oral Health, once you have carried out some essential revisions listed below.

1. Please have the text edited by a professional language editing service or a native English-speaking colleague. There are many issues with grammar, wording, spelling, and/or punctuation that need to be addressed before acceptance for publication.

2. If you did not need formal ethics approval, please confirm that this complies with national guidelines and provide a reference which supports this in your Ethics approval and consent to participate section. Alternatively, supply a statement that says that a local ethics committee ruled that no formal ethics approval was required in this particular case.

3. If abbreviations are used in the text they should be defined in the text at first use, and a list of abbreviations should be provided before the Declarations.

4. At this stage, please upload your manuscript as a single, clean version that does not contain any tracked changes, comments, highlights, strikethroughs or text in different colours. All relevant tables/figures/additional files should also be clean versions. Figures (and additional files) should remain uploaded as separate files.
Our response: Thank you for your valuable comments. The text was edited by a professional English edit service. Also, a statement about ethical approval was added. Abbreviations were not used in the text.

Reply to reviewer #1

1. Concern of the reviewer: No comment.

Our response: Thanks.

Reply to reviewer #2

1. Concern of the reviewer: Authors have responded to my concerns. However, paper still needs editing for English.

Our response: We would like to thank you for your valuable comments. The paper was edited for English by professional English Editing Service.