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Reviewer's report:

Dear Authors,

Great improvement! Thank you for your efforts. I'm quite happy with all the amendments done in response to my previous comments no.2, no.3, and other comments.

Regarding comment no. 1, may I again suggest your Table 2 on the distribution of periodontitis in relation to demographic, socioeconomic and behavioral factors to be stratified by Sami and non-Sami as your objective is to describe prevalence, severity and distribution of periodontal disease as well as associated risk factors IN AN INDIGENOUS SAMI POPULATION in Northern Norway.

Currently, the distribution of NSP, Stage II, and Stage III/IV by socio-demographic, economic, and oral-health related behaviours are presented as overall together for Sami and Non-Sami. For example, you have the prevalence of PD by age groups, education levels, etc, but all these are for total population and not for SAMI population as in your objective. If you intend to have prevalence of PD in SAMI population by age group or by education level, etc, you can have prevalence of PD among Sami and Non-Sami on your columns, and other independent variables on your rows.

Other than this, I'm fine with this revision version.

Thank you.
Are the methods appropriate and well described?
If not, please specify what is required in your comments to the authors.
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Does the work include the necessary controls?
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Are the conclusions drawn adequately supported by the data shown?
If not, please explain in your comments to the authors.
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Are you able to assess any statistics in the manuscript or would you recommend an additional statistical review?
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