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Reviewer's report:

The manuscript deals with an interesting subject. It is in general well-written. Please pay attention to my comments bellow:

Introduction:
*   The introduction is well-written and focused. However, It would be interesting if authors included what the literature states regarding the impact of orthognatic surgery on OHRQoL. What do previous studies state regarding such issue? The rationale of the study could be improved.

Methods:
*   This section is too succinct. More detail is needed for example in describing the questionnaires employed (how they are scored, how results are interpretable, and so on).
*   Was there any pilot study conducted to test the methodology?
*   Please, state the design of the study.
*   Why did authors used a non-specific tool such as OHIP-14 and a condition specific tool for orthognatic surgery (OQOL)? Wouldn't the last one be enough?
*   Where were patients from? A university clinic? Private practice?

Results:
*   The description of results is quite tiring. Please consider rewriting some sentences, in order to improve the flowing of reading.

Discussion:
*   A little truncated. Please try to reduce this section in order to maintain focus.

Are the methods appropriate and well described?
If not, please specify what is required in your comments to the authors.

No

Does the work include the necessary controls?
If not, please specify which controls are required in your comments to the authors.

No

Are the conclusions drawn adequately supported by the data shown?
If not, please explain in your comments to the authors.
Yes

**Are you able to assess any statistics in the manuscript or would you recommend an additional statistical review?**
If an additional statistical review is recommended, please specify what aspects require further assessment in your comments to the editors.

I am able to assess the statistics

**Quality of written English**

Please indicate the quality of language in the manuscript:
Acceptable
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