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Author’s response to reviews:

Dear Dr Omar Kujan,

On behalf of my co-authors, we thank you very much for giving us an opportunity to revise our manuscript, we appreciate editor and reviewers very much for their positive and constructive comments and suggestions on our manuscript entitled “Salivary Gland Function in Nasopharyngeal Carcinoma Before and Late After Intensity-Modulated Radiotherapy Evaluated by Dynamic Diffusion-Weighted MR Imaging with Gustatory Stimulation”. (ID: OHEA-D-19-00496).

We have studied editor’s comments carefully and have made revision which marked in red in the paper. We have tried our best to revise our manuscript according to the comments. Attached please find the revised version, which we would like to submit for your kind consideration.

Below are our specific responses to the editor’s comments.

Comment 1: There are two contradictory statements in your 'Availability of data and materials' section. Please consider removing the statement 'All data generated or analysed during this study are included in this published article [and its supplementary information files]' as this is incorrect.
Response 1: We thank reviewer for the helpful suggestion. We have removed the statement “All data generated or analysed during this study are included in this published article [and its supplementary information files]".
Comment 2: Please include a statement in your Funding section describing the role of the funding body in the design of the study, the collection, analysis, and interpretation of data and in writing the manuscript.
Response 2: We thank reviewer for the helpful suggestion. The funding body in the design of the study, the collection, analysis, and interpretation of data and in writing the manuscript gave financial support, which is added in page 23 line 11 and 12.

Comment 3: We note that you have not uploaded your figures in your most recent revised submission. Please ensure all tables, figures and supplementary files are uploaded as they may otherwise not be published.
Response 3: We thank reviewer for the helpful suggestion. We have uploaded all figures in this revised submission.

Comment 4: When submitting your revised manuscript please ensure you do so as a single clean copy without any tracked changes, colored or highlighted text, as these are no longer required at this stage of the editorial process.
Response 4: We thank reviewer for the helpful suggestion. The revised manuscript without any tracked changes, colored or highlighted text.