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Reviewer's report:

Minor but important corrections to avoid inaccuracies.

Page 4 - Lines 15, 16. Ref 7 is not WHO approved criteria. This is a common mistake made by the Authors referring to van der Meij EH, van der Waal's paper on diagnostic criteria of OLL. Kindly delete any reference to WHO in the text, in line 15.

Fig 5C. Your blue arrows indicate apoptotic nuclei. Not mitosis. This is an incorrect histopath interpretation. Kindly correct. Apoptosis is a common feature in OLP/OLL.

Fig 7; The legend to Fig 7 is still inaccurate. Don't you think there is depapillation at the original site? Kindly re-word the legend.

Are the methods appropriate and well described?
If not, please specify what is required in your comments to the authors.

Yes

Does the work include the necessary controls?
If not, please specify which controls are required in your comments to the authors.

Unable to assess

Are the conclusions drawn adequately supported by the data shown?
If not, please explain in your comments to the authors.

Yes

Are you able to assess any statistics in the manuscript or would you recommend an additional statistical review?
If an additional statistical review is recommended, please specify what aspects require further assessment in your comments to the editors.

Not relevant to this manuscript
Quality of written English
Please indicate the quality of language in the manuscript:

Needs some language corrections before being published
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