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Reviewer's report:

The author had responded to my comments. A few issues remain that need amendment;

1- "To what extent could the improvements in NiTi-RIs have minimized complications during root canal cleaning and shaping?": Please paraphrase it to a question that is answered by your findings as you mentioned in the response

2- Table 1
In the last column, write the total numbers without brackets and write the percentages inside round brackets!
What do the numbers inside the square brackets mean!!!!!
Remove the last row (Total)

3- Table 2
It is not clear why you used round and square brackets in the tables' footnotes!!!! You need to clarify them. What do you mean by the numbers in the square brackets?
In the last row, correct the number 105100.

4- "Reviewer comment: In Tables 1 and 2, you use round brackets () and box brackets []. What is the difference?
The author response: as it is stated clearly below the two tables, the values in brackets are percentages of respondents who were use NiTi-RIs which is different from the other values that represent the variables being tested."
You have 2 different brackets. What is the difference?

5- Table 6
What is the number 52.5?? please edit it.
Replace "(0)" with "0 (0)"

6- DISCUSSION 1st paragraph, Line 24
I think you need to remove "The author response" and rewrite.
Are the methods appropriate and well described?
If not, please specify what is required in your comments to the authors.

Yes

Does the work include the necessary controls?
If not, please specify which controls are required in your comments to the authors.

Unable to assess

Are the conclusions drawn adequately supported by the data shown?
If not, please explain in your comments to the authors.

Yes

Are you able to assess any statistics in the manuscript or would you recommend an additional statistical review?
If an additional statistical review is recommended, please specify what aspects require further assessment in your comments to the editors.

I am able to assess the statistics

Quality of written English
Please indicate the quality of language in the manuscript:
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