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Reviewer's report:

The authors have made considerable efforts to improve the quality of their paper. However, still there are a few limited issues to be solved.

1. You did not insert, in the abstract, the name of the software used for superimpositions. i have already asked you to insert it. Please do it.

2. A statement of clinical relevance is not required for BMC Oral Health, please erase it from your abstract.

3. Still in the intro/ discussion the concept of the difficulty with capturing edentulous areas with intraoral scanners should be expanded and better treated.

There are papers recently published about the possibility of fabricating digital dentures, i suggest the authors to refer to some of those papers.

4. Please re-inforce your conclusions. This study focuses on inter-operator validity, but you forget to report on this that is the core of the study. the conclusions should be expanded by adding at least 1-2 new sentences that report exactly on the results of this study, the reliability of the technique, after this you can focus on the problems or limits when scanning edentulous regions.

5. Please revise the english form of your paper with the help of a proficient english speaker, still there are some sentences that are not properly readable and the overall quality must be improved.

Are the methods appropriate and well described?
If not, please specify what is required in your comments to the authors.

Yes

Does the work include the necessary controls?
If not, please specify which controls are required in your comments to the authors.

Yes

Are the conclusions drawn adequately supported by the data shown?
If not, please explain in your comments to the authors.

Yes
Are you able to assess any statistics in the manuscript or would you recommend an additional statistical review?
If an additional statistical review is recommended, please specify what aspects require further assessment in your comments to the editors.

I am able to assess the statistics

Quality of written English
Please indicate the quality of language in the manuscript:

Needs some language corrections before being published
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